

REVIEW FORM

Dear Sirs,

As part of our ongoing commitment to the high standard of the scientific works published by, a member of the Association of University Presses, we are turning to you with a kind request to prepare a clear and succinct review of the submitted manuscript. Scientific publications have to be reviewed by a community of experts to guarantee that they meet the highest standards.

We will be grateful for any remarks regarding scientific as well as marketing issues in part III of the questionnaire. Your valuable comments will enable the Journal to reach the right audience.

Full name of author/authors and title of publication

Evaluation criteria

The decision to accept the work for publication will be based on the opinion expressed in point V (Final evaluation). The opinions and remarks voiced in part III (Marketing criteria) will be gathered for informational purposes only

I. FORMAL CRITERIA AND LANGUAGE

1.	The title of the monograph and chapter titles adequately describe the content of the scientific work

2.	<p style="text-align: center;">Composition <i>(correct structure, volume, completeness; absence of excessive repetition; each chapter and section has the required length)</i></p>
3.	<p style="text-align: center;">Language <i>(style, spelling, punctuation, clarity; language is appropriate for the area of study)</i></p>
4.	<p style="text-align: center;">Completeness and correctness of source materials <i>(complete, adequate and correct references, footnotes and citations; correct citation format in footnotes and references)</i></p>

5.	Illustrations and the applied terminology are complete and relevant to the main body of text <i>(maps, tables, figures, photographs)</i>

II.Scientific Criteria

6.	Type of publication <i>(scientific work, popular science work, textbook, course book, compendium of knowledge, other)</i>
7.	Relevance of research <i>(the author is familiar with and makes references to the current state of research in the area of study)</i>
8.	Research methodology <i>(the adopted research methodology, results and sources are correct and justified; research methods are described in a dedicated chapter/section which presents the applied terminology, concepts and definitions)</i>

9.	The undertaken research is justified and scientific hypotheses are original
10.	The conclusions are correctly formulated and appropriately presented

11.	<p align="center">Special requirements for academic textbooks <i>(knowledge is systematized; content is appropriately structured for educational purposes; chapters/sections have a clear and consistent structure; the publication reviews the latest advances in a given field of science/scientific discipline)</i></p>
12.	<p align="center">Suspected plagiarism or self-plagiarism <i>(similarity to previously published content; absence of in-text citations or references to sources for paraphrased information)</i></p>

III. MARKETING CRITERIA

13. Rationale for publication

1.	Attractive content relative to competitive and/or complementary publications	yes/no
2.	Popularization of knowledge among non-experts (popular science publications)	yes/no
3.	Applicability for the educational process	yes/no
4.	Applicability as reference materials for other scientists	yes/no
5.	Applicability for research	yes/no
6.	Applicability for selected sectors of the economy	yes/no
7.	Applicability for selected areas of social life	yes/no

8.	Other	yes/no
----	-------	--------

14.	Potential readers <i>(please indicate groups and communities with a potential interest in the reviewed work)</i>
15.	Examples of competitive and complementary literature

IV. DESCRIPTIVE EVALUATION

--

--

V. SUMMARY AND FINAL EVALUATION

Final evaluation			
Please tick the appropriate box			
A	Accept in its present form	<input type="checkbox"/>	
B	Accept after the following (minor or major) revisions have been made:	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	C	Revise and resubmit for re-review	<input type="checkbox"/>
	D	Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

.....
Date and the Reviewer's signature